From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

MCMANUS, Kimberly A.
Sim & McBurney
330 University Avenue
Toronto, Ontario M5G
CANADA

R7 RECEIVED
DEC 1 0 2008

Date of mailing (day/inonth/year) 27 November 2008 (27.11.2008)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 9577-61 KAM

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862

International filing date (day/month/year)
14 May 2007 (14.05.2007)

Priority date (day/month/year) 12 May 2006 (12.05.2006)

SIM & McBURNEY

SIM, LOWMAN, ASHTON & MCKAYLLP

Applicant

ODIDI, Isa et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 9577-61 KAM	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862	International filing date (day/month/year) 14 May 2007 (14.05.2007)	Priority date (day/month/year) 12 May 2006 (12.05.2006)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant ODIDI, Isa		-		

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:			
	Box No. J	Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application			
4.	The International Bureau will c not, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority			

	Date of issuance of this report 17 November 2008 (17.11.2008)	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Athina Nickitas-Etienne	
+41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt04.pct@wipo.int	

Facsimile No.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: SIM & MCBURNEY 6th Floor 330 University Avenue TORONTO, Ontario Canada, M5G 1R7		PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
	Ì	Date of mailing (day month year)	31 August 2007 (31-08-2007)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference 9577-61 KAM		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
	nternational filing date (d 4 May 2007 (14-05-20	1 filing date (day month year) 07 (14-05-2007) Priority date (day month year) 12 May 2006 (12-05-2006)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or IPC. 461K 9/06 (2006.01)461K 31/485 .461K 47/44 (2006.01)461K 9/52 (2006	5 (2006.01) 461K 47/0 2	(2006.01) , . 461K 47	/ 30 (2006.01) .			
Applicant ODIDI, ISA ET AL						
1. This opinion contains indications relating	ng to the following items	:				
[X] Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
Box No. II Priority	Box No. II Priority					
[X] Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
[] Box No. IV Lack of u	Lack of unity of invention					
	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement					
[X] Box No. VI Certain d	VI Certain documents cited					
[X] Box No. VII Certain d	defects in the internationa	l application				
[X] Box No. VIII Certain o	• • •					
I remain Authority ("IPEA") except that this	is does not apply where the ap	nlicant chooses an Author	written opinion of the International Preliminary ty other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA Searching Authority will not be so considered.			
If this opinion is, as provided above, considere where appropriate, with amendments, before the from the priority date, whichever expires later.	the expiration of 3 months from	ne IPEA, the applicant is in in the date of mailing of Fo	wited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, arm PCT ISA 220 or before the expiration of 22 months			
For further options, see Form PCT ISA 220.						
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/IS/	For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/CA Canadian Intellectual Property Office Place du Portage L C114 - 1st Floor. Box I 50 Victoria Street Gatineau. Quebec K1A 0C9 Facsimile No.: 001-819-953-2476	Į.	on of this opinion (03-08-2007)	Authorized officer Charles Greenough 819-994-0243			

International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862

Bo	x No). I	Basis of this opinion	
1.	Wit	h ro	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	ĮΧ]	he international application in the language in which it was filed	
	1] ;	translation of the international application into	
		1	ranslation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).	
2.	1 .		This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))	
3.	With inve	h re enti	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed on, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a. t	ype	of material	
		E	a sequence listing	
		[table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. f	oп	nat of material	
		[] on paper	
		l] in electronic form	
	c. t	im	of filing/furnishing	
		ſ] contained in the international application as filed.	
		ı	filed together with the international application in electronic form	
		ŀ] turnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
4.	[.	1	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
5 .	Add	litic	nal comments :	
			·	
•				

International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862

Box No. 111	I	Non-estal	dishment of opinion with i	regard to novel	ty, inventive step and industri	al applicability	
The questio applicable h	ns whe	ther the cla t been exar	imed invention appears to b nined in respect of :	e novel, to invo	lve an inventive step (to be non	obvious), or to be industrially	
1]	the ent	tire interna	tional application				
[X]	claim	Nos.	<u>67-69</u>				
because	e:						
[X]	the sai	id internatio	onal application, or the said	claim Nos.	<u>67-69</u>	relate to the following	
	subjec	t matter wh	nich does not require an inte	rnational search	(specify)		
	search	ed nor is a	directed to a method for tre written opinion required by ged effect or purpose/use of	this Authority.	Regardless, this Authority has	or therapy, are not required to lestablished a written opinion	æ
{ 1			laims or drawings (indicate It no meaningful opinion cot		ents below) or said claim Nos. specify) :		
1 1			d claims Nos. 1 that no meaningful opinion	n could be forme		are so inadequately supported	
[]	no inte	emational :	search report has been estab	lished for said c	laims Nos.		
[]	a mea	ningful opi	nion could not be formed wi	ithout the seque	nce listing; the applicant did no	t, within the prescribed time lim	út:
		furnish a se Instruction acceptable	s, and such listing was not a	nplying with the wailable to the I	e standard provided for in Annes international Searching Authorit	x C of the Administrative y in a form and manner	
		turnish a s Instruction acceptable	s, and such listing was not a	form complying wailable to the l	with the standard provided for international Searching Authorit	in Annex C of the Administrati y in a form and manner	ve
		Rule 13ter	. l(a) or (b).		of a sequence listing in response		
1 3	prescr C-bis and m	ribed time l of the Adn nanner acce	imit, furnish such tables in ninistrative Instructions, and ptable to it.	electronic form of such tables wer	complying with the technical re re not available to the Internation	e: the applicant did not, within the quirements provided for in Aumonal Searching Authority in a for	c. \
[]	the ta	bles related	I to the nucleotide and/or an	nino acid sequer	nce listing, if in electronic form	only, do not comply with the	
			ments provided for in Anne				
[]	Sœ S	upplement	al Box for further details.			D 2 .	

International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862

		ting such statement	
(N)	Claims	6, 8, 11-14, 20, 26, 40-62, 65, 75, 77	YES
	Claims	1-5, 7, 9, 10, 15-19, 21-25, 27-39, 63, 64, 66-74, 76	NO
e step (IS)	Claims	6, 8, 11-14, 20, 26, 40-62, 65, 75, 77	YES
	Claims	1-5, 7, 9, 10, 15-19, 21-25, 27-39, 63, 64, 66-74, 76	NO
al applicability (IA)	Claims	1-66, 70-77	YES
	Claims	NONE	NO
	r (N) we step (IS) al applicability (IA)	Claims Claims Claims Claims al applicability (IA) Claims	Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 10, 15-19, 21-25, 27-39, 63, 64, 66-74, 76 Verstep (IS) Claims 6, 8, 11-14, 20, 26, 40-62, 65, 75, 77 Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 10, 15-19, 21-25, 27-39, 63, 64, 66-74, 76 al applicability (IA) Claims 1-66, 70-77

2. Citations and explanations:

- D1: US 6 607 751 (Odidi et al.)
- D2: US 6 627 635 (Palermo et al.)
- D3. US 2006/0039864 (Bartholomaus et al.)
- D4: US 4 946 853 (Bannon et al.)

Claims 1-4, 9, 15-19, 21, 23-25, 27-37, 70-74 are not novel and do not comply with Article 33(2) of the PCT. Document D1 discloses a controlled release pharmaceutical device comprising a controlled release agent and a pharmaceutical active such as morphine. The device may also comprise glyceryl stearate and a lubricant such as magnesium stearate or tale. Also, although D1 does not explicitly state the subject matter of claims 24 and 27-37, it is assumed the composition of D1 will react in the same way as it is made up of the same components as claimed in claims 1-4. Given the above objection, claims 1-4, 9, 15-19, 21, 23-25, 27-37, 70-74 are also considered to lack an inventive step in light of the described prior art and thus fails to comply with Article 33(3) of the PCT.

Claims 1, 2, 15-17, 21, 23-25, 27-37, 64, 70, 71, 74 are not novel and do not comply with Article 33(2) of the PCT. Document D2 discloses a sustained release oral dosage form comprising an orally active opioid agonist and a sustained release carrier which may be incorporated in a matrix formulation. The dosage form may also comprise gelling agents and waxes. Also, although D2 does not explicitly state the subject matter of claims 24 and 27-37, it is assumed the composition of D2 will react in the same way as it is made up of the same components as claimed in claims 1 and 2. Given the above objection, claims 1, 2, 15-17, 21, 23-25, 27-37, 64, 70, 71, 74 are also considered to lack an inventive step in light of the described prior art and thus fails to comply with Article 33(3) of the PCT.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 15-17, 21-25, 27-37, 39, 63, 64, 66, 70, 71, 74 are not novel and do not comply with Article 33(2) of the PCT. Document D3 discloses an oral dosage form with controlled release of an addictive substance. The dosage form may also comprise a wax, delayed release matrix auxiliary substances, at least one substance which irritates the nasal passages and a delayed release coating. This dosage form may be packaged in capsules. Also, although D3 does not explicitly state the subject matter of claims 24 and 27-37, it is assumed the composition of D3 will react in the same way as it is made up of the same components as claimed in claims 1 and 2. Given the above objection, claims 1, 2, 5, 15-17, 21-25, 27-37, 39, 63, 64, 66, 70, 71, 74 are also considered to lack an inventive step in light of the described prior art and thus fails to comply with Article 33(3) of the PCT.

Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 10, 15-19, 21, 23, 24, 27-38, 64, 66-74, 76 are not novel and do not comply with Article 33(2) of the PCT. Document D4 discloses a preparation comprising an addictive substance (nicotine) uniformly distributed in a semi-solid medium, said medium comprising a gel-torning agent such as bentonite or hectorite and a solvent such as stearyl alcohol. This preparation may be in the form of a paste. Also, although D4 does not explicitly state the subject matter of claims 24 and 27-37, it is assumed the composition of D4 will react in the same way as it is made up of the same components as claimed in claims 1-5. Given the above objection, claims 1-5, 7, 9, 10, 15-19, 21, 23, 24, 27-38, 64, 66-74, 76 are also considered to lack an inventive step in light of the described prior art and thus fails to comply with Article 33(3) of the PCT.

Claims 6, 8, 11-14, 20, 26, 40-62, 65, 75, 77 are novel and are considered to involve an inventive step and comply with Articles 33(2) and 33(3) of the PCT.

The subject matter of claims 1-66, 70-77 is considered to be industrially applicable and thus complies with the requirements of Article 33(4) of the PCT.

International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862

Box No.	VI Certain document	s cited		
1 Certa	ain published documents (Rule	s 43 <i>bis</i> 1 and 70 10)		
, cen	Application No. Patent No.	Publication date (day month year)	Filing date (day month year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day month year)
	US 2007/0104778	10-05-2007	14-05-2007	12-05-2006
2. Non-	written disclosures (Rules 43b	is.1 and 70.9)		
	Kind of non-written discl		of non-written disclosure (dav-month vear)	Date of written disclosure referring to non-written disclosure (clay month year)
				•
				:

International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862

Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
The following defi	ects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:	
available to the pu	The description does not comply with Article 5 of the PCT. All documents referred to in the description of an application must be available to the public. Reference to the document on page 1, line 2 must be deleted or replaced by its corresponding patent number or publication number.	
·		
,		
·		
·		
·		

International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made.

Claims 24, 27-37, 46-56 do not comply with Article 6 of the PCT for being directed to the desired result rather than to the combination necessary to achieve that result as described in the description.

Claims 70, 71, 73 do not comply with Article 6 of the PCT for being directed to the desired result rather than to the combination necessary to achieve that result as described in the description. Specifically, the portions of the claims, "the paste composition is non-newtonian, thixotropic and/or pseudoplastic", is directed to the desired result.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 70, 71, 73 lack conciseness and do not comply with Article 6 of the PCT and Rule 6.1(a) of the PCT. The claims should not be unduly multiplied so as to obscure the definition of the claimed invention. The number of claims shall be reasonable in consideration of the nature of the alleged invention claimed.

Claim 9 does not comply with Article 6 of the PCT. The claim shall be clear and concise. The inclusion of the expression "such as" causes ambiguity.

Claim 21 does not comply with Article 6 of the PCT. The claim shall be clear and concise. The claim does not explicitly define what the "non-dissolved particles" are. Also, it is unclear whether the particles must be less than 100 microns OR may be more than 100 micron, but less than 200 microns OR may be more than 200 microns but less than 1000 microns.

Claims 27-30 do not comply with Article 6 of the PCT. The claims shall be clear and concise. The expression "not significantly affected" does not define how affected the dissolution is nor does it define in what way it is affected.

Claim 72 does not comply with Article 6 of the PCT. The claim shall be clear and concise. Claims 64 and 65 define uses rather than compositions. Perhaps dependence upon claim 71 was intended.

Claim 73 does not comply with Article 6 of the PCT. The claim shall be clear and concise. The claim is redundant in view of claim 72.

The statement found on page 9, lines 4-11 of the description does not comply with Article 6 of the PCT. This is a general statement which implies that the extent of protection may be expanded in some vague and imprecise way.